

RECUEIL DES COURS

390 (2017)

L'Académie de droit international de La Haye

honorée du prix Wateler de la Paix (1936, 1950), du prix Félix Houphouët-Boigny pour la recherche de la paix (1992), de l'ordre du Rio Branco, Brésil (1999), et de la médaille de l'Institut royal d'études européennes, Espagne (2000)

The Hague Academy of International Law

awarded the Wateler Peace Prize (1936, 1950), the Félix Houphouët-Boigny Peace Prize (1992), the Order of Rio Branco, Brazil (1999), and the Medal of the Royal Institute of European Studies, Spain (2000)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Chapter I. Dimensions of the problem: "consent" and agreement	21
A. The insidious "gateway" metaphor	24
B. "I used to teach contracts, did you know that?": challenges based on a lack of "agreement" and challenges to the "arbitration agreement itself"	30
1. "Incorporation by reference"	37
C. The burden of proof	49
D. The construction of "true consent": the <i>Dallah</i> case	52
E. "Contracts of adhesion"	69
F. Consent to arbitrate "this dispute": default rules and the problem of "scope"	79
Chapter II. The unmysterious notion of "separability"	92
A. "Just one more discrete controversy"	99
B. "To particularize is the alone distinction of merit"	103
C. "Lapse", "expiration", "rescission"	106
D. The "illegality" of a contract	111
E. "The arbitration clause itself"	121
F. "Void, schmoid"	129
G. Being and nothingness: or does the overall contract even "exist"?	131
1. "Existence": mutual mistake	135
2. "Existence": "agreements to agree"	136
3. "Existence": negotiation and the stages of agreement	145
4. "Existence": lack of authority	149
5. "Existence": "conditions precedent"	150
6. "Existence": minority	154
7. "Separability with a vengeance": the asymmetrical arbitration clause	163
Chapter III. The spectrum of "consent": third parties, "preconditions", and remedies	174
A. The question of "scope"	185
B. Signatories and non-signatories	199
C. "Who, whom?"	202
1. Enforcing arbitration against a non-signatory	204
2. Enforcing arbitration against a signatory	205
(a) Assignment: assignee and assignor together move to compel arbitration	218
(b) Assignment: assignor alone moves to compel arbitration	220
(c) Assignment: assignee alone moves to compel arbitration	221
D. "Preconditions" and "admissibility"	224
1. "Not any more"	224
2. "It is possible", says the gatekeeper, "but not now"	232
3. <i>BG Group v. Republic of Argentina</i>	235
E. Excluding remedies	247
Chapter IV. "Party autonomy" and contractual reallocation of power	257
A. The notion of party autonomy	257

B. Party autonomy and reallocation by contract	264
1. Reviewing the bidding	264
2. <i>First Options</i>	265
(a) The "clear and unmistakable"	269
3. "Delegation" of authority and the "broad clause"	272
4. <i>Rent-A-Center</i> and the "arbitration agreement itself"	275
5. The dilemma of institutional rules	288
6. Building bridges.	301
7. Class-wide proceedings.	305
C. "Expanded review"	315
Chapter V. "Party autonomy" and the choice of law	322
A. The "separable" governing law	322
B. The problem of <i>Fredonia</i>	326
C. The Restatement and the Rome Regulation	328
D. The <i>Sarhank</i> case.	335
E. The chosen law	342
F. Substantive law and the <i>Mastrobuono</i> case	354
G. English law: the <i>Sulamérica</i> case	362
H. The "principle of validity"	367
I. "Federal common law"	372
J. French law and the " <i>règle matérielle</i> ".	380
K. "Formal validity"	386