

European Human Rights Law Review

Editor: Nathan Cooper

Issue 5 2009

OPINION

Achievements and Challenges—Insights from the Strasbourg Experience for Other International Courts

James A. Goldston

ARTICLES

The Extraterritorial Reach and Applicability in Armed Conflict of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights: A Rejoinder to Dennis and Surena

Sir Nigel Rodley

Religion and International Law: Friends or Foes?

Mashood A. Baderin

Sentencing the Religious Defendant

Peter W. Edge

Do Minimum Age Requirements Violate the Right to Stand for Election under the European Convention on Human Rights?

Achilles C. Emilianides

CASE ANALYSIS

***Opuz v Turkey*: Confirming the State Obligation to Combat Domestic Violence**

Bonita C. Meyersfeld

EUROPEAN HUMAN RIGHTS

Law Review

Issue 5 2009

pages 603–724

E.H.R.L.R. aims to promote better understanding of European human rights law, and to provide a forum for serious debate on the European Convention on Human Rights. Tailored to the needs of the practitioner and academic lawyers, it carries articles on all aspects of human rights law as well as providing authoritative commentaries on current developments in this field.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINION

Achievements and Challenges—Insights from the Strasbourg Experience for Other International Courts

James A. Goldston 603

BULLETIN 611

BULLETIN: COUNTER-TERRORISM AND HUMAN RIGHTS 616

ARTICLES

The Extraterritorial Reach and Applicability in Armed Conflict of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights: A Rejoinder to Dennis and Surena

Sir Nigel Rodley 628

Religion and International Law: Friends or Foes?

Mashood A. Baderin 637

Sentencing the Religious Defendant

Peter W. Edge 659

Do Minimum Age Requirements Violate the Right to Stand for Election under the European Convention on Human Rights? <i>Achilles C. Emilianides</i>	670
CASE ANALYSIS	
<i>Opuz v Turkey: Confirming the State Obligation to Combat Domestic Violence</i> <i>Bonita C. Meyersfeld</i>	684
CASES AND COMMENT	
<i>Kenedi v Hungary (Application No.31475/05)</i>	694
<i>Bigaeva v Greece (Application No.26713/05)</i>	696
<i>Szuluk v United Kingdom (Application No.36936/05)</i>	699
<i>Standard Verlags GmbH v Austria (No.2) (Application No.21277/05)</i>	702
<i>Opuz v Turkey (Application No.33401/02)</i>	704
<i>Shukla v United Kingdom (Application No.2526/07)</i>	709
<i>Al Saadoon and Mufdhi v United Kingdom (Application No.61498/08)</i>	711
<i>Verein Gegen Tierfabriken Schweiz (VgT) v Switzerland (No.2)</i> <i>(Application No.32772/02)</i>	716
BOOK REVIEW	721

The *Review* may be cited as: [2009] E.H.R.L.R.

European Human Rights Law Review is published by Thomson Reuters (Legal) Limited (Registered in England & Wales, Company No 1679046. Registered Office and address for service: 100 Avenue Road, London, NW3 3PF) trading as Sweet & Maxwell.

For further information on our products and services, visit www.sweetandmaxwell.co.uk

© 2009 Thomson Reuters (Legal) Limited and Contributors

Thomson Reuters and the Thomson Reuters Logo are trademarks of Thomson Reuters. Sweet & Maxwell ® is a registered trademark of Thomson Reuters (Legal) Limited.

Crown copyright material is reproduced with the permission of the Controller of HMSO and the Queen's Printer for Scotland.

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, or stored in any retrieval system of any nature without prior written permission, except for permitted fair dealing under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988, or in accordance with the terms of a licence issued by the Copyright Licensing Agency in respect of photocopying and/or reprographic reproduction. Application for permission for other use of copyright material including permission to reproduce extracts in other published works shall be made to the publishers. Full acknowledgment of author, publisher and source must be given.

ISSN: 1361-1526

Computerset by Laserwords Private Limited, Chennai, India.

Printed and bound in Great Britain by MPG Books Ltd, Bodmin, Cornwall.

No natural forests were destroyed to make this product; only farmed timber was used and replanted.