

European Human Rights Law Review

Edited by Jonathan Cooper and Aileen McColgan

Issue 1 2008

OPINION

On Reforming the Operation of the European Court of Human Rights

-Michael O'Boyle

ARTICLES

Constitutionalising Equality: New Horizons

-Karon Monaghan

**The Right to Respect for Private Life in the European Convention on Human Rights:
A Re-examination**

-N.A. Moreham

***De minimis non curat* the European Court of Human Rights: The Introduction
of a New Admissibility Criterion (Article 12 of Protocol No.14)**

-Xavier-Baptiste Ruedin

Protecting the Victims of Trafficking: Problems and Prospects


-Suzanne Egan

CASE ANALYSIS

**Liberty, Fairness and the UK Control Order Cases: Two Steps Forward,
Two Steps Back**

-Adam Sandell

EUROPEAN HUMAN RIGHTS



Review

Issue 1 2008

pages 1-162

EHRLR aims to promote better understanding of European human rights law, and to provide a forum for serious debate on the European Convention on Human Rights. Tailored to the needs of the practitioner and academic lawyers, it carries articles on all aspects of human rights law as well as providing authoritative commentaries on current developments in this field.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINION

On Reforming the Operation of the European Court of Human Rights
Michael O'Boyle 1

BULLETIN 12

BULLETIN: COUNTER-TERRORISM AND HUMAN RIGHTS 15

ARTICLES

Constitutionalising Equality: New Horizons
Karon Monaghan 20

The Right to Respect for Private Life in the European Convention on Human Rights: A Re-examination
N.A. Moreham 44

De minimis non curat the European Court of Human Rights: The Introduction of a New Admissibility Criterion (Article 12 of Protocol No.14)
Xavier-Baptiste Ruedin 80

Protecting the Victims of Trafficking: Problems and Prospects
Suzanne Egan 106

CASE ANALYSIS

Liberty, Fairness and the UK Control Order Cases: Two Steps Forward, Two Steps Back
Adam Sandell 120

CASES AND COMMENT

<i>J.A. Pye (Oxford) Ltd and J.A. Pye (Oxford) Land Ltd v United Kingdom (Application No.44302/02)</i>	132
<i>Tremblay v France (Application No.37194/02)</i>	135
<i>Stavropoulos v Greece (Application No.35522/04)</i>	138
<i>Verein Gegen Tierfabriken Schweiz (VGT) v Switzerland (Application No.32772/02)</i>	140
<i>Hasan and Eylem Zengin v Turkey (Application No.1448/04)</i>	144
<i>Saoud v France (Application No.9375/02)</i>	147
<i>Lindon, Otchakovsky-Laurens and July v France (Application Nos 21279/02 and 36448/02)</i>	150
<i>Colibaba v Moldova (Application No.29089/06)</i>	154
<i>Van Vondel v Netherlands (Application No.38258/03)</i>	157
BOOK REVIEW	161

This Review may be cited as: [2008] E.H.R.L.R.

© Sweet and Maxwell Limited, 100 Avenue Road, London, NW3 3PF
(<http://www.sweetandmaxwell.co.uk>) and contributors 2008

The Thomson trademark and Star Design are trademarks of Thomson Financial S.A. used herein under licence.

All rights reserved. Crown copyright legislation is reproduced under the terms of Crown Copyright Policy Guidance issued by HMSO.

No part of this publication may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, or stored in any retrieval system of any nature without prior written permission, except for permitted fair dealing under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988, or in accordance with the terms of a licence issued by the Copyright Licensing Agency in respect of photocopying and/or reprographic reproduction.

Application for permission for other use of copyright material including permission to reproduce extracts in other published works shall be made to the publishers. Full acknowledgment of author, publisher and source must be given.

ISSN: 1361-1526

Typeset by Laserwords Private Limited, Chennai, India.

Printed and bound in Great Britain by
MPG Books Ltd, Bodmin, Cornwall.