

European Human Rights

Law Review

Jonathan Cooper

Issue 1 2006

Opinion

The Human Rights Act: Review of the Year 2004–2005

- Keir Starmer Q.C.

Articles

Legitimate Expectations and the Law-Maker in the Case Law of the European Court of Human Rights

- Patricia Popelier

Compelled Self-Reporting and the Principle Against Compelled Self-Incrimination: Some Comparative Perspectives

- Mark Berger

Regulating Reproduction: Prisoners' Families, Artificial Insemination and Human Rights

- Helen Codd

Immunity in Civil Proceedings for Torture: The Emerging Exception

- Kate Parlett

Case Analysis

R. v Secretary of State for the Home Department, Ex p. Limbuela

- A. Mackenzie

EUROPEAN HUMAN RIGHTS

Review

Issue 1 2006

pages 1-100

EHRLR aims to promote better understanding of European human rights law, and to provide a forum for serious debate on the European Convention on Human Rights. Tailored to the needs of the practitioner and academic lawyers, it carries articles on all aspects of human rights law as well as providing authoritative commentaries on current developments in this field.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINION

The Human Rights Act: Review of the Year 2004-2005
Keir Starmer Q.C. 1

BULLETIN 5

ARTICLES

Legitimate Expectations and the Law-Maker in the Case Law of the European Court of Human Rights
Patricia Popelier 10

Compelled Self-Reporting and the Principle Against Compelled Self-Incrimination: Some Comparative Perspectives
Mark Berger 25

Regulating Reproduction: Prisoners' Families, Artificial Insemination and Human Rights
Helen Codd 39

Immunity in Civil Proceedings for Torture: The Emerging Exception <i>Kate Parlett</i>	49
CASE ANALYSIS	
<i>R. v Secretary of State for the Home Department, Ex p. Limbuela</i> <i>A. Mackenzie</i>	67
CASES AND COMMENT	
<i>Kent Pharmaceuticals Ltd v United Kingdom</i>	74
<i>B and L v United Kingdom</i>	77
<i>Roche v United Kingdom</i>	79
<i>Saadi v United Kingdom</i>	84
<i>Blake v United Kingdom</i>	86
<i>Times Newspapers v United Kingdom</i>	90
<i>Banfield v United Kingdom</i>	92
<i>Hirst v United Kingdom</i>	94
BOOK REVIEWS	97

This Review may be cited as: [2006] E.H.R.L.R.

© Sweet and Maxwell Limited, 100 Avenue Road, NW3 3PF
(<http://www.sweetandmaxwell.co.uk>) and contributors 2006

All rights reserved. Crown copyright legislation is reproduced under the terms of Crown Copyright Policy Guidance issued by HMSO.

No part of this publication may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, or stored in any retrieval system of any nature without prior written permission, except for permitted fair dealing under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988, or in accordance with the terms of a licence issued by the Copyright Licensing Agency in respect of photocopying and/or reprographic reproduction.

Application for permission for other use of copyright material including permission to reproduce extracts in other published works shall be made to the publishers. Full acknowledgment of author, publisher and source must be given.

ISSN: 1361-1526

Typeset by Laserwords Private Limited, Chennai, India.

Printed and bound in Great Britain by
Antony Rowe Ltd, Chippenham, Wiltshire