



Stanford Law Review



Stanford Law Review



© 2005 by the Board of Trustees of the
Leland Stanford Junior University

December 2005

CONTENTS

ARTICLES

THE ETHICS AND EMPIRICS OF CAPITAL PUNISHMENT

EDITORS' NOTE	701
IS CAPITAL PUNISHMENT MORALLY REQUIRED? ACTS, OMISSIONS, AND LIFE-LIFE TRADEOFFS	703
<i>Cass R. Sunstein & Adrian Vermeule</i>	
NO, CAPITAL PUNISHMENT IS NOT MORALLY REQUIRED: DETERRENCE, DEONTOLOGY, AND THE DEATH PENALTY	751
<i>Carol S. Steiker</i>	
USES AND ABUSES OF EMPIRICAL EVIDENCE IN THE DEATH PENALTY DEBATE.....	791
<i>John J. Donohue & Justin Wolfers</i>	
DETECTING MURDER: A REPLY.....	847
<i>Cass R. Sunstein & Adrian Vermeule</i>	

NOTES

<i>HENDRICKS-ROBINSON AS CROWBAR: REMOVING THE CERTIFICATION BAR TO DISABILITY-BASED EMPLOYMENT-DISCRIMINATION CLASS ACTIONS</i>	859
<i>Nathaniel Garrett</i>	
<i>FIXING FACA: THE CASE FOR EXEMPTING PRESIDENTIAL ADVISORY COMMITTEES FROM JUDICIAL REVIEW UNDER THE FEDERAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE ACT</i>	895
<i>Michael J. Mongan</i>	

BOOK REVIEW

THINKING ABOUT SEXUAL CONSENT	935
<i>Mark Kelman</i>	