

CONTENTS

Chapter I. Introduction	23
1.1. The relevance of the study	23
1.2. The scope and objective of the study	25
1.3. The study plan	27
1.4. Defining “humanitarian” “intervention” and “modern international law”	28
1.5. The contemporary international community: a perspective	29
Chapter II. “Humanitarian” intervention: a historical perspective	34
2.1. Doctrines and practices	34
2.1.1. Ancient Indian traditions	35
2.1.1.1. Concept of <i>dharma</i>	36
2.1.1.2. <i>Dharmayuddha</i> (the righteous war)	37
2.1.1.3. Means and methods of warfare	40
2.1.1.4. Treatment of non-combatants and prisoners of war	42
2.1.1.5. Treatment of civilians and civilian objects	43
2.1.2. Buddhism	43
2.1.3. Jainism	47
2.1.4. Ancient Chinese traditions	49
2.1.4.1. Confucianism	49
2.1.4.1.1. Limited justifications for war.	49
2.1.4.2. Sun Tzu	51
2.1.5. Islamic traditions	51
2.1.5.1. Elements of just war	53
2.1.5.1.1. Just cause	54
2.1.5.1.2. Right intention	57
2.1.5.1.3. Legitimate authority	58
2.1.5.1.4. The rule of proportionality	59
2.1.5.1.5. The rule of discrimination	60
2.1.5.1.6. Probability of success.	62
2.1.5.1.7. Last resort	62
2.1.6. Christian traditions	63
2.1.6.1. Christian pacifism.	63
2.1.6.2. Just war theories	66
2.1.6.2.1. Historical contexts	68
2.1.6.2.2. The doctrine.	74
2.1.6.2.2.1. Cicero [106-43 BC]	76
2.1.6.2.2.2. St. Augustine of the Hippo (353-430 AD)	77
2.1.6.2.2.3. St. Thomas Aquinas (1224-1274)	80
2.1.6.2.2.4. Just war theories of fifteenth to seventeenth centuries	86
2.1.6.2.2.5. Grotius’s <i>De Jure Belli ac Pacis</i> (1625)	94
2.1.7. Kantian philosophy and just war	97
2.1.7.1. International state of nature	99
2.1.7.1.1. The right to go to war	99
2.1.7.1.2. The right during war	100

2.1.7.1.3. The right after war	100
2.1.7.1.4. The right to peace	101
2.1.7.1.5. The right against unjust enemy?	101
2.1.7.2. Duty to strive for perpetual peace	102
2.1.7.2.1. Perpetual peace: preliminary articles	103
2.1.7.2.2. Perpetual peace: definitive articles	108
2.1.7.2.3. Kant and Bentham: a comparison	110
2.2. Changing responses of traditional international law	112
2.2.1. Balance of power doctrine	112
2.2.2. Intervention as a policy issue, outside the pale of law	118
2.2.3. Legal right to intervene	121
2.2.3.1. Persecution	124
2.2.3.2. Oppression	124
2.2.3.3. Uncivilized wars	124
2.2.3.4. Injustice	125
2.2.3.5. Slave trade	126
2.2.3.6. Humanitarian asylum	126
2.2.3.7. Foreign commerce	128
2.2.4. Legal prohibition of intervention	129
2.2.5. Implications of traditional doctrines for traditional international law	132
Chapter III. Response of the contemporary international law	134
3.1. The United Nations as an actor in international relations	134
3.1.1. International institution: a doctrinal perspective	134
3.1.1.1. Organizing in the vortex of plurality of competing forces	134
3.1.1.2. International institution as an "autonomous" actor	137
3.1.2. Emergence of the United Nations	142
3.1.3. The United Nations: a mix of idealism and "realism"	143
3.1.4. The normative order of the United Nations Charter	148
3.1.4.1. A historical perspective	148
3.1.4.2. Evolution of international human rights law	154
3.1.4.3. Legal order governing use of force	157
3.1.4.3.1. Sovereign equality	159
3.1.4.3.2. Non-use of force	161
3.1.4.3.3. Non-intervention	169
3.1.4.3.4. Good faith	177
3.1.4.4. Institutional mechanism at the United Nations	178
3.1.4.4.1. International personality of the United Nations	179
3.1.4.4.2. The General Assembly	181
3.1.4.4.3. The Security Council	182
3.2. Peremptory norms of international law and obligations <i>erga omnes</i>	188
3.3. Rights and duties of States	189
3.4. "New" humanitarian doctrines: towards a new "higher" law of human rights	207
3.4.1. Western discourse on contemporary international law	207
3.4.2. A new "higher" law of human rights: towards an obligation to intervene?	213
3.4.2.1. Henry G. Schermers	213
3.4.2.2. Fernando R. Tesón	218

Chapter IV. Unilateralism of “humanitarian” intervention	231
4.1. Issues of burden of proof of legality of unilateral resort to “humanitarian” intervention	231
4.1.1. General burden of proof of legality of a unilateral act	231
4.1.2. Burden of proof of validity of unilateralism and <i>jus cogens</i>	234
4.2. Legality and objectives of intervention	236
4.3. Invasion of Afghanistan 2001	238
4.3.1. Introduction	238
4.3.2. 9/11 and aftermath	238
4.3.3. Economic and other sanctions and US demands on Taliban	241
4.3.4. US military response	242
4.3.5. The role of the United Nations in forging transnational arrangements for Afghanistan	243
4.3.6. International law issues through the Afghan war	245
4.3.6.1. Existing framework on control of international terrorism	245
4.3.6.1.1. General international law	245
4.3.6.1.2. Treaty framework	246
4.3.6.2. Legality of unilateral force	248
4.3.6.3. Bringing the terrorists and their collaborators to justice	250
4.4. Invasion of Iraq 2003	254
4.4.1. Issues of legality of unilateral use of force against Iraq	255
4.4.1.1. Right to collective self-defence	255
4.4.1.2. Individual self-defence	256
4.4.1.3. Enforcement of international obligations arising from Security Council decisions	259
4.4.1.4. Obligation to prevent and punish international terrorism	261
4.4.1.5. “Humanitarian” intervention	262
Chapter V. “Humanitarian” action by the United Nations	264
5.1. Institutional mechanism for use of force under the UN Charter	264
5.1.1. The Security Council (and whatever little left of the General Assembly)	264
5.1.1.1. Charter framework	264
5.1.1.2. Some UN practice: an interpretation	269
5.1.2. Problems of Security Council-sponsored UN peace actions	275
5.1.3. Towards a concept of UN “humanitarian” action?	288
5.1.4. The so-called “responsibility to protect”	292
5.1.5. UN “humanitarian” action and issues of illegality and illegitimacy	299
Chapter VI. Conclusions	305
Select bibliography	313

ACADÉMIE DE DROIT INTERNATIONAL
FONDÉE EN 1923 AVEC LE CONCOURS DE LA
DOTATION CARNEGIE POUR LA PAIX INTERNATIONALE

RECUEIL DES COURS

COLLECTED COURSES OF THE HAGUE
ACADEMY OF INTERNATIONAL LAW

2005

Tome 313 de la collection



2005

MARTINUS NIJHOFF PUBLISHERS
Leiden/Boston