



# THE YALE LAW JOURNAL

OCTOBER 2005

VOLUME 115, NUMBER 1

1      **EDITORIAL**

**ARTICLE**

- 2      Fixing Freezeouts  
*Guhan Subramanian*

**ESSAY**

- 72      Of Property and Federalism  
*Abraham Bell and Gideon Parchomovsky*

**NOTES**

- 116      A Walk Along Willard:  
A Revised Look at Land Use Coordination in Pre-Zoning New Haven  
*Stephen Clowney*
- 186      The Creation of Homeownership:  
How New Deal Changes in Banking Regulation Simultaneously Made  
Homeownership Accessible to Whites and Out of Reach for Blacks  
*Adam Gordon*

**COMMENTS**

- 227      *Grand Theft Oreo*: The Constitutionality of Advergame Regulation
- 237      Tort Law and In Vitro Fertilization:  
The Need for Legal Recognition of "Procreative Injury"
- 247      The Unfinished Business of Bankruptcy Reform:  
A Proposal To Improve the Treatment of Support Creditors



## ARTICLE CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION	5
I. BACKGROUND	8
A. Historical Origins of Freezeouts	8
B. Development of Procedural Protections	11
1. <i>Weinberger v. UOP</i>	11
2. <i>Kahn v. Lynch Communication Systems</i>	13
3. <i>Rosenblatt v. Getty Oil</i>	15
C. Disruptive Technology: The Tender Offer Freezeout	17
1. <i>Solomon v. Pathe Communications</i>	18
2. <i>In re Siliconix Inc. Shareholders Litigation</i>	19
3. <i>Glassman v. Unocal Exploration</i>	20
4. <i>In re Pure Resources</i>	21
5. Synthesis	22
D. Prior Literature	22
1. Advocating Entire Fairness Review for Tender Offer Freezeouts	23
2. Defending the Status Quo	24
3. Proposing Doctrinal Convergence Through Hybrid Approaches	29
II. THE PROBLEM WITH EXISTING DOCTRINE	30
A. Opportunistic Behavior in Tender Offer Freezeouts	30
1. The Determination of Price in Tender Offer Freezeouts	30
2. Categories of Opportunistic Behavior	31
a. Freezeout Timing	32
b. Influencing the Target's Value	32
3. Efficiency Implications	34
a. Nonreversible Value Reductions	34
b. Facilitating Some Inefficient Freezeouts	35
c. Reduced Access to Minority Capital	35

B. Detering Efficient Freezeouts Through the Merger Mechanism	39
1. The Problem of Special Committee Resistance	39
a. With Special Committee Veto Power	39
b. Without Special Committee Veto Power	41
2. The Problem of Deterred Deals	43
a. Through Allocation of Deal Synergies	43
b. Through Litigation Costs	45
C. The Absence of a Private Solution	47
<b>III. A PROPOSAL FOR REFORM</b>	<b>48</b>
A. First Principles of Corporate Law	49
1. The Arms-Length Approach to Fundamental Transactions	49
2. Application to Freezeout Doctrine	52
a. Tender Offer Freezeouts	52
b. Merger Freezeouts	53
3. Synthesis	55
B. Reforming Tender-Offer-Freezeout Doctrine	55
1. Increasing Special Committee Bargaining Power	55
a. Through Standards of Judicial Review	56
b. Through Ability To Deploy a Pill	57
2. Promoting Majority-of-the-Minority Conditions	59
3. The Influence of Sarbanes-Oxley and Stock Exchange Listing Requirements	59
C. Reforming Merger-Freezeout Doctrine	60
1. Promoting Majority-of-the-Minority Conditions	60
2. Bolstering the Tender Offer Threat	61
D. Synthesis	62
E. Applications	64
1. Cox Communications (August 2004)	65
2. Fox Entertainment Group (January 2005)	67
<b>CONCLUSION</b>	<b>69</b>