


UCLA LAW REVIEW

© 2003 by the Regents of the University of California.
All Rights Reserved.



VOLUME 51

DECEMBER 2003

NUMBER 2

CONTENTS

For the Record iii

ARTICLES

LOCKING IN CAPITAL: WHAT CORPORATE LAW
ACHIEVED FOR BUSINESS ORGANIZERS
IN THE NINETEENTH CENTURY *Margaret M. Blair* 387

THE FAILED JURISPRUDENCE OF MANAGED CARE,
AND HOW TO FIX IT: REINTERPRETING
ERISA PREEMPTION *Russell Korobkin* 457

THE CAMEL'S NOSE IS IN THE TENT: RULES,
THEORIES, AND SLIPPERY SLOPES *Mario J. Rizzo &
Douglas Glen Whitman* 539

COMMENT

NONCOMPETE AGREEMENTS IN CALIFORNIA: SHOULD
CALIFORNIA COURTS UPHOLD CHOICE OF LAW
PROVISIONS SPECIFYING ANOTHER STATE'S LAW? *Christina L. Wu* 593

UCLA

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA LOS ANGELES

LAW REVIEW

ARTICLES

LOCKING IN CAPITAL: WHAT CORPORATE LAW
ACHIEVED FOR BUSINESS ORGANIZERS
IN THE NINETEENTH CENTURY

Margaret M. Blair

THE FAILED JURISPRUDENCE OF MANAGED
CARE, AND HOW TO FIX
IT: REINTERPRETING ERISA PREEMPTION

Russell Korobkin

THE CAMEL'S NOSE IS IN THE TENT: RULES,
THEORIES, AND SLIPPERY SLOPES

*Mario J. Rizzo &
Douglas Glen Whitman*

COMMENT

NONCOMPETE AGREEMENTS IN CALIFORNIA:
SHOULD CALIFORNIA COURTS UPHOLD
CHOICE OF LAW PROVISIONS SPECIFYING
ANOTHER STATE'S LAW?

Christina L. Wu