

# UCLA LAW REVIEW

© 2001 by the Regents of the University of California.  
All Rights Reserved.

CAPDEX	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
VOCAL	<input type="checkbox"/>
B. C. 400	<input type="checkbox"/>
OK	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>

Dec 2001

---

VOLUME 49

DECEMBER 2001

NUMBER 2

---

## CONTENTS

### ARTICLES

- EVALUATING THE SEX DISCRIMINATION ARGUMENT  
FOR LESBIAN AND GAY RIGHTS *Edward Stein* 471
- DEFENDING THE SEX DISCRIMINATION ARGUMENT  
FOR LESBIAN AND GAY RIGHTS: A REPLY  
TO EDWARD STEIN *Andrew Koppelman* 519
- REFINED COMPARATIVISM  
IN CONSTITUTIONAL LAW *David Fontana* 539

### COMMENTS

- THE REGULATION OF FEDERAL PROSECUTORIAL  
MISCONDUCT BY STATE BAR ASSOCIATIONS:  
28 U.S.C. § 530B AND THE REALITY  
OF INACTION *Jennifer Blair* 625
- RETHINKING INSURANCE'S PUBLIC POLICY  
EXCLUSION: CALIFORNIA'S BEFUDDLED  
ATTEMPT TO APPLY AN UNDEFINED RULE  
AND A CALL FOR REFORM *Russell B. Wuehler* 651

# UCLA

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA LOS ANGELES

## LAW REVIEW

### ARTICLES

EVALUATING THE SEX DISCRIMINATION ARGUMENT  
FOR LESBIAN AND GAY RIGHTS *Edward Stein*

DEFENDING THE SEX DISCRIMINATION ARGUMENT  
FOR LESBIAN AND GAY RIGHTS: A REPLY  
TO EDWARD STEIN *Andrew Koppelman*

REFINED COMPARATIVISM  
IN CONSTITUTIONAL LAW *David Fontana*

### COMMENTS

THE REGULATION OF FEDERAL PROSECUTORIAL  
MISCONDUCT BY STATE BAR ASSOCIATIONS:  
28 U.S.C. § 530B AND THE REALITY  
OF INACTION *Jennifer Blair*

RETHINKING INSURANCE'S PUBLIC POLICY  
EXCLUSION: CALIFORNIA'S BEFUDDLED  
ATTEMPT TO APPLY AN UNDEFINED RULE  
AND A CALL FOR REFORM *Russell B. Wuehler*

---

Volume 49

• December 2001

• Number 2