

ACADÉMIE DE DROIT INTERNATIONAL  
FONDÉE EN 1923 AVEC LE CONCOURS DE LA  
DOTATION CARNEGIE POUR LA PAIX INTERNATIONALE

# RECUEIL DES COURS

COLLECTED COURSES OF THE HAGUE  
ACADEMY OF INTERNATIONAL LAW

2001

*Tome 289 de la collection*



2001

MARTINUS NIJHOFF PUBLISHERS  
The Hague/Boston/London

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

|  |     |
|--|-----|
| Introduction   | 23  |
| A. An illustrative example   | 23  |
| B. Choice of "law" or of "legal rules"? "Ad hoc" nature of arbitral justice  | 25  |
| C. Functional analysis and arbitral choice-of-law  | 27  |
| D. How important conflict-of-laws really is for arbitrators?   | 34  |
| E. General plan  | 37  |
| Chapter I. Choice-of-law and procedural issues   | 39  |
| A. General questions concerning the jurisdiction of international commercial arbitrators and the scope of their jurisdictional powers  | 39  |
| 1. <i>Kompetenz-Kompetenz</i> . Autonomy and certain effects of the arbitration agreement  | 41  |
| 2. Validity, existence of the arbitration agreement  | 63  |
| 3. Arbitrability of the dispute  | 79  |
| 4. Interpretation of the arbitration agreement   | 86  |
| 5. Capacity to arbitrate. Authority to submit to arbitration   | 98  |
| 6. <i>Locus standi</i>   | 106 |
| 7. Scope of the arbitration agreement (as to what contractual documents are covered by an arbitration clause not found in all of them) | 120 |
| 8. Scope of the arbitration agreement (as to parties covered by the arbitration clause)  | 127 |
| B. The law governing arbitral proceedings  | 154 |
| 1. Identifying the applicable procedural law   | 154 |
| 2. Powers regarding counsel acting in arbitral proceedings   | 157 |
| 3. Interim measures of protection  | 161 |
| 4. Correction, revision of awards  | 166 |
| 5. <i>Res judicata</i>   | 168 |
| C. A special scenario: the application of public international law   | 172 |
| Preliminary conclusions  | 179 |
| Chapter II. Law applicable to the merits   | 183 |
| A. The main conflict-of-laws trends  | 183 |
| (i) The trend favouring the "de-nationalization" of arbitral choice-of-law   | 183 |
| (ii) The "nationalizing" trend in arbitral choice-of-law   | 185 |
| (iii) Points of contact between both trends  | 189 |
| (a) General considerations   | 189 |
| (b) The specific case of <i>lois de police</i>   | 200 |
| B. The main conflict-of-laws trends from the perspective of the ICC arbitration rules  | 210 |
| Subsection 1   | 223 |
| (i) Detachment of arbitral choice-of-law criteria from national private international law  | 223 |
| (ii) The "false conflict" technique  | 244 |

|  |     |
|--|-----|
| Subsection 2 . . . . .   | 296 |
| (i) The relevance of international mandatory rules ( <i>lois de police</i> ) for arbitral choice-of-law determinations . . . . . | 296 |
| (a) General ICC arbitral practice . . . . .  | 296 |
| (b) Choice-of-law approach underlying ICC arbitral practice . . . . .  | 333 |
| Conclusions . . . . .  | 372 |
| Annex. Awards in ICC cases . . . . .   | 383 |
| Bibliography . . . . .   | 391 |