

AMERICAN UNIVERSITY LAW REVIEW



ARTICLES

- ATTORNEY-CLIENT PRIVILEGE: CONTINUING CONFUSION
ABOUT ATTORNEY COMMUNICATIONS, DRAFTS,
PRE-EXISTING DOCUMENTS, AND THE SOURCE OF
THE FACTS COMMUNICATED *Paul R. Rice*
- A SECOND LOOK AT AMENDED RULE 11 *Theodore C. Hir*
- ARTICLE III AND THE ADEQUATE AND INDEPENDENT
STATE GROUNDS DOCTRINE *Cynthia L. Fountain*

COMMENTS

- CENSUS POLITICS REVISITED: WHAT TO DO WHEN
THE GOVERNMENT CAN'T COUNT? *Benjamin J. Ras*
- SEX, DRUGS, AND THE *RESTATEMENT (THIRD) OF TORTS*,
SECTION 6(C): WHY COMMENT E IS THE ANSWER
TO THE WOMAN QUESTION *Dolby M. Trompetu*

AMERICAN UNIVERSITY LAW REVIEW

VOLUME 48

JUNE 1999

NUMBER 5

ARTICLES

- ATTORNEY-CUENT PRIVILEGE: CONTINUING
CONFUSION ABOUT ATTORNEY
COMMUNICATIONS, DRAFTS, PRE-EXISTING
DOCUMENTS, AND THE SOURCE OF
THE FACTS COMMUNICATED. *Paul R. Rice* 967
- A SECOND LOOK AT AMENDED RULE 11. . . . *Theodore C. Hirt* 1007
- ARTICLE III AND THE ADEQUATE
AND INDEPENDENT STATE
GROUNDS DOCTRINE *Cynthia L. Fountaine* 1053

COMMENTS

- CENSUS POLITICS REVISITED: WHAT
TO DO WHEN THE GOVERNMENT
CAN'T COUNT? *Benjamin J. Razi* 1101
- SEX, DRUGS, AND THE *RESTATEMENT*
(THIRD) OF TORTS, SECTION 6(C):
WHY COMMENT E IS THE ANSWER
TO THE WOMAN QUESTION. *Dolly M. Trompeter* 1139