

FORDHAM LAW REVIEW

VOLUME LIII

MAY 1985

NUMBER 6

CONTENTS

ARTICLE

- THE PRESIDENT'S TAX PROPOSALS: A MAJOR STEP
IN THE RIGHT DIRECTION *Edward Yorio* 1255

NOTES

- FEDERAL RULE OF EVIDENCE 801(d)(2)(E) AND THE
CONFRONTATION CLAUSE: CLOSING THE WINDOW OF
ADMISSIBILITY FOR COCONSPIRATOR HEARSAY 1291
- REMOVING TEMPTATION: PER SE REVERSAL FOR JUDICIAL
INDICATION OF BELIEF IN THE DEFENDANT'S GUILT 1333
- APPLYING *WAINWRIGHT V. SYKES* TO STATE ALTERNATIVE
HOLDINGS AND SUMMARY AFFIRMANCES 1357
- THE PUBLICLY HELD CORPORATION AND THE INSURABILITY OF
PUNITIVE DAMAGES 1383
- A PRINCIPLED LIMITATION FOR SECTION 504 OF THE
REHABILITATION ACT: THE INTEGRITY-OF-THE-PROGRAM
TEST 1409
- UNION AFFILIATIONS AND THE RIGHTS OF NONUNION
EMPLOYEES 1443
- IN FORMA PAUPERIS LITIGANTS: WITNESS FEES AND EXPENSES
IN CIVIL ACTIONS 1461
- ADMISSIBILITY OF SUBSEQUENT REMEDIAL MEASURES
EVIDENCE IN DIVERSITY ACTIONS BASED ON STRICT
PRODUCTS LIABILITY 1485
- WHAT CONSTITUTES A JUDICIAL ACT FOR PURPOSES OF JUDICIAL
IMMUNITY? 1503
- LETTERS OF CREDIT: THE ROLE OF ISSUER DISCRETION IN
DETERMINING DOCUMENTARY COMPLIANCE 1519

FORDHAM LAW REVIEW

2
38



ARTICLE

THE PRESIDENT'S TAX PROPOSALS: A MAJOR STEP IN THE RIGHT DIRECTION

NOTES

FEDERAL RULE OF EVIDENCE 801(d)(2)(E) AND THE CONFRONTATION CLAUSE: CLOSING THE WINDOW OF ADMISSIBILITY FOR COCONSPIRATOR HEARSAY

REMOVING TEMPTATION: PER SE REVERSAL FOR JUDICIAL INDICATION OF BELIEF IN THE DEFENDANT'S GUILT.

APPLYING *WAINWRIGHT V. SYKES* TO STATE ALTERNATIVE HOLDINGS AND SUMMARY AFFIRMANCES

THE PUBLICLY HELD CORPORATION AND THE INSURABILITY OF PUNITIVE DAMAGES
A PRINCIPLED LIMITATION FOR SECTION 504 OF THE REHABILITATION ACT: THE INTEGRITY-OF-THE-PROGRAM TEST

UNION AFFILIATIONS AND THE RIGHTS OF NONUNION EMPLOYEES

IN FORMA PAUPERIS LITIGANTS: WITNESS FEES AND EXPENSES IN CIVIL ACTIONS

ADMISSIBILITY OF SUBSEQUENT REMEDIAL MEASURES EVIDENCE IN DIVERSITY ACTIONS BASED ON STRICT PRODUCTS LIABILITY

WHAT CONSTITUTES A JUDICIAL ACT FOR PURPOSES OF JUDICIAL IMMUNITY?

LETTERS OF CREDIT: THE ROLE OF ISSUER DISCRETION IN DETERMINING DOCUMENTARY COMPLIANCE