

The Yale Law Journal

Volume 93, Number 1, November 1983

Successive Representation By Criminal Lawyers

Gary T. Lowenthal†

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. THE FREQUENCY WITH WHICH CRIMINAL DEFENSE LAWYERS CONFRONT FORMER CLIENTS AS PROSECUTION WITNESSES: AN EMPIRICAL STUDY	5
A. <i>Preliminary Observations</i>	5
B. <i>Format and Scope of the Frequency Study</i>	7
C. <i>Results of the Study</i>	8
II. THE ACTUAL PRACTICES OF CRIMINAL DEFENSE LAWYERS WHEN FORMER CLIENTS TESTIFY FOR THE PROSECUTION: A SURVEY	11
A. <i>The Physical Accessibility of Former Clients' Files</i>	12
B. <i>Policies Restricting Access to Files</i>	13
C. <i>Restrictions on Using Information Found in Files</i>	16
III. SUCCESSIVE REPRESENTATION AND THE BAR'S ETHICAL RULES	18

† Professor of Law, Arizona State University.

I am indebted to my colleagues David H. Kaye and Charles A. Pulaski, Jr. for their insightful suggestions. Kathleen Foster, Marty Lieberman, Victoria McGuigan, Douglas Petroff-Tobler, Gregg Temple and Alice Walter also provided thoughtful assistance in the preparation of this Article. Finally, this Article would not have been prepared without the generous aid of Bill and Jane Canby.



The Yale Law Journal

Volume 93
Number 1
November 1983

CENTRO LINCOLN

Successive Representation by
Criminal Lawyers

Gary T. Lowenthal

The Optimal Precision of
Administrative Rules

Colin S. Diver

Discriminatory Purpose and Mens Rea: The
Tortured Argument of Invidious Intent

Commercial Arbitration in the Eighteenth Century:
Searching for the Transformation of American
Law

Tort Liability of the Mentally Ill in Negligence
Actions

Book Reviews

Gene R. Nichol, Jr.
John Wheeler