


OHIO STATE LAW JOURNAL

Volume 42, Number 3, 1981

CONTENTS

BIBLIOTECA DE LA CORTE SUPREMA	
N.º DE SEDE	6906
UBICACION	2-35



Articles

- Regulatory Analysis and Judicial Review
Carl McGowan 627
- The Rationality Requirement of the Equal Protection Clause
Gary C. Leedes 639
- Predictable Harm: Should the Media Be Liable?
Michael I. Spak 671
- On the Validity of State Takeover Regulation:
State Responses to *MITE* and *Kidwell*
Mark A. Sargent 689
- Conspiracies to Monopolize: A Decisional Model
William C. Holmes 731

Comments

- Ex Parte* Contacts Within the FCC: Problem
in Accountability 751
- Punitive Damages Awards in Strict Products Liability
Litigation: The Doctrine, the Debate, the Defenses 771
- Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(a)(3)
Typicality Requirement: The Superfluous Prerequisite
to Maintaining a Class Action 797
- The Outer Limits of Parental Autonomy: Withholding
Medical Treatment from Children 813

Case Comments

- Is the Right of Access to Trials an Instance of a
First Amendment Right to Know? *Richmond Newspapers*
v. Virginia 831

OHIO STATE LAW JOURNAL

Volume 42
Number 3
1981

BIBLIOTECA	
SALA	2
ESTANTE	35
TABLA	

ARTICLES

Regulatory Analysis and Judicial Review

Carl McGowan

The Rationality Requirement of the Equal
Protection Clause

Gary C. Leedes

Predictable Harm: Should the Media Be
Liable?

Michael I. Spak

On the Validity of State Takeover Regulation:
State Responses to *MITE* and *Kidwell*

Mark A. Sargent

Conspiracies to Monopolize: A Decisional
Model

William C. Holmes

COMMENTS

Ex Parte Contacts Within the FCC: Problem
in Accountability

Punitive Damages Awards in Strict Products
Liability Litigation: The Doctrine, the
Debate, the Defenses

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(a)(3)
Typicality Requirement: The Superfluous
Prerequisite to Maintaining a Class Action

The Outer Limits of Parental Autonomy:
Withholding Medical Treatment from
Children

CASE COMMENTS

Is the Right of Access to Trials an Instance
of a First Amendment Right to Know?

Richmond Newspapers v. Virginia