

Judicature

AUGUST 1977 VOL 61/NO 2

BIBLIOTECA

2. 3/4. 59

LA



Putting a jury together: Who should conduct voir dire?

THE JOURNAL OF THE AMERICAN JUDICATURE SOCIETY

Judicature

THE JOURNAL OF THE AMERICAN JUDICATURE SOCIETY



- 56 Letters**
On small claims and Arthur Vanderbilt
- 57 Query**
Should hidden standards shape our courts?
- 58 Will definite sentences make a difference?**
Legislatures in more than thirteen states are considering criminal codes that emphasize deserved punishment rather than rehabilitation.

C. Mae Kuykendall

George F. Cole



- 66 The case for judicial discretion in sentencing**
The problem of sentencing disparity won't be solved by handcuffing the judge.
- 70 Who should conduct voir dire? The judge**
Judge-conducted voir dire remains the best way to obtain the impartial jury upon which a fair trial depends.
- 71 Who should conduct voir dire? The attorneys**
The fairness of the adversary process depends upon unfettered attorney participation in voir dire.
- 79 Retention elections: Who wins when no one loses?**
A process meant to ensure judicial accountability has become a rubber stamp.

Walter Evans and Frank Gilbert

Arthur J. Stanley

Robert G. Begam

William Jenkins, Jr.

- 87 Books**
- 90 News**

BIBLIOTECA DE LA	
CORTE SUPREMA	
NO. DE ORDEN	63129
UBICACION	

Cover photo by
Steven Kucharzyk

August 1977
Volume 61 Number 2

JUDICATURE, The Journal of the American Judicature Society, Volume 61, Number 2, August 1977. Published monthly, except bi-monthly in June-July and August-September. Circulation 36,478. Individual subscriptions \$7.00 a year. Views and opinions in articles are not to be taken as official expressions of the American Judicature Society's policy unless so stated. Copyright © 1977 by the American Judicature Society. Editorial communications and notices on Form 3579 are to be sent to 200 West Monroe, Suite 1606, Chicago, Illinois 60606. Second-class postage paid at Chicago.