

BOOK REVIEWS

- McKay: Reapportionment: The Law and Politics of Equal Representation
John P. Frank 847
- Cavers: The Choice-of-Law Process *Jack L. Kroner* 851
- Timberlake: Federal Treble Damage Antitrust Actions .. *Joseph Taubman* 857

BIBLIOTECA DE LA CORTE SUPREMA	<i>Res M</i>
NO. DE ORDEN	<i>37.204</i>
UBICACIÓN	

SUBSCRIPTION PRICE, \$9.00

PER NUMBER, \$2.25


WITH ANNUAL SURVEY OF AMERICAN LAW, \$12.00

Unless notice to the contrary is received at the editorial office, it is assumed that a renewal of the subscription to the LAW REVIEW is desired.

Published at 35 New Street, Worcester, Massachusetts in March, April, May, October, November, and December. Second Class postage paid at Worcester, Massachusetts. Subscription price, nine dollars per annum. Per number, two dollars and twenty-five cents. With Annual Survey of American Law, twelve dollars. For single volumes and bound sets inquire of Fred B. Rothman & Company, 57 Leaning Street, South Hackensack, New Jersey.

© Copyright, 1966, by New York University

Editorial and General Offices: Vanderbilt Hall, 40 Washington Square South,
New York, N.Y. 10003

400282  61060



New York University

Law Review

VOLUME 41

OCTOBER 1966

NUMBER 4

SUGGESTIONS FOR CLARIFYING ARTICLE 9: INTANGIBLES,
PROCEEDS, AND PRIORITIES

Homer Kripke

ARBITRATION AND THE UNIFORM COMMERCIAL CODE

Daniel G. Collins

Adequate Representation for Defendants in Federal
Criminal Cases: Appointment of Counsel Under
the Criminal Justice Act of 1964

The *Scienter* Requirement in Criminal
Obscenity Prosecutions

NEW YORK UNIVERSITY LAW REVIEW

VOLUME 41

OCTOBER 1966

NUMBER 4

CONTENTS

ARTICLES

- ✓ SUGGESTIONS FOR CLARIFYING ARTICLE 9: INTANGIBLES, PROCEEDS, AND PRIORITIES *Homer Kripke* 687
- ✓ ARBITRATION AND THE UNIFORM COMMERCIAL CODE
Daniel G. Collins 736

NOTES

- ✓ ADEQUATE REPRESENTATION FOR DEFENDANTS IN FEDERAL CRIMINAL CASES: APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL UNDER THE CRIMINAL JUSTICE ACT OF 1964 758
- ✓ THE SCIENTER REQUIREMENT IN CRIMINAL OBSCENITY PROSECUTIONS 791

COMMENTS

- Constitutional Law—Double Jeopardy—State Reprosecution for Greater Offense After Appellate Reversal Held Unconstitutional: *United States ex rel. Hetenyi v. Wilkins* (2d Cir. 1965) 821
- Constitutional Law—Expert Assistance—State Is Under No Constitutional Duty To Provide Expert Assistance to Indigent Criminal Defendants: *State v. Superior Court* (Ariz. 1966) 832
- Insurance—Debit Insurance—Statute Preventing Lapse of Debit Insurance Policies During Agents' Strike Held Preempted by Federal Labor Legislation: *John Hancock Mut. Life Ins. Co. v. Commissioner of Ins.* (Mass. 1965) 838