

CONTENTS — TABLE DES MATIÈRES

	<i>Page</i>
Application Instituting Proceedings Submitted by the Islamic Republic of Iran — Requête introductive d'instance présentée par la République islamique d'Iran	
I. THE AGENT OF THE ISLAMIC REPUBLIC OF IRAN TO THE REGISTRAR OF THE INTERNATIONAL COURT OF JUSTICE	3
II. APPLICATION INSTITUTING PROCEEDINGS	4
I. Statement of facts	4
II. Jurisdiction of the Court	4
III. The contentions of the Islamic Republic	6
IV. Judgment requested	6
<i>Attachment</i>	8
Memorial of the Islamic Republic of Iran — Mémoire de la République islamique d'Iran	
INTRODUCTION	11
PART I. THE FACTS.	18
A. Introduction	18
B. The background facts relating to IR 655.	21
1. IR 655 was a scheduled flight within the internationally designated civil air corridor	21
2. Details of the flight	27
(a) The passengers and the crew	27
(b) Routine communications with ATC centres	29
(c) U.S. monitoring of IR 655's routine communications.	34
C. The background facts relating to the United States warships	38
1. The show of force of the U.S. fleet in the Persian Gulf	38
2. U.S. interference in civil aviation	46
3. The <i>USS Vincennes</i>	55
D. The shooting down of IR 655	60
1. Initial stages of the flight	60
2. Alleged "challenges" by the <i>Vincennes</i> and the <i>Sides</i>	66
3. IR 655 was not misidentified by the U.S. warships	73
4. The firing on the plane.	78
E. U.S. attempts to deny responsibility	81
1. The "F-14 theory" and the alleged Mode II response	83
2. The "coordinated attack" theory	91
PART II. JURISDICTION	100
A. The procedural background to the dispute: proceedings before the ICAO Council	101
1. Initiatives of the Islamic Republic before ICAO	101

	<i>Page</i>
2. Subsequent actions by ICAO	110
3. The final decision of the ICAO Council	119
B. Jurisdiction under the Chicago Convention	127
C. Jurisdiction under the Montreal Convention	138
D. Jurisdiction under the Treaty of Amity	145
PART III. THE APPLICABLE PRINCIPLES AND RULES OF LAW	155
A. Introduction	155
B. The provisions of the Chicago Convention	156
1. The Preamble	157
2. Articles 1 and 2	158
3. Article 3 <i>bis</i>	162
4. Articles 44 (<i>a</i>) and (<i>h</i>)	169
5. The Annexes to the Chicago Convention	172
(<i>a</i>) Annex 2	172
(<i>b</i>) Annex 11	179
(<i>c</i>) Annex 15	184
C. The provisions of the Montreal Convention	187
D. The Treaty of Amity	194
E. The United Nations Charter	199
1. The prohibition against the use of armed force	200
2. Rules relating to a State's territorial sovereignty	205
3. The exercise of self-defence	212
F. Customary international law of neutrality	220
PART IV. APPLICATION OF THE LAW TO THE FACTS	224
A. The deployment and conduct of the U.S. Fleet in the Persian Gulf	224
1. Violation of the Islamic Republic's sovereignty and unlawful interference in its commerce and navigation	225
2. The unlawful NOTAMs	233
3. The implications of recommendation 2.6/1 of the Third MID RAN Meeting	243
4. The United States' violations of the law of neutrality	245
5. Conclusions	251
B. The shooting down of Flight IR 655	253
1. The "accidental" argument	254
2. The "self-defence" argument	262
3. U.S. attitudes in related incidents	267
4. The United States' failure to accept responsibility for its actions in this incident and to guarantee the prevention of similar incidents in the future	270
C. Conclusion	273
PART V. REPARATION	275
A. Request for a declaration that the United States violated the Chicago Convention, the Montreal Convention, the Treaty of Amity and related principles of customary international law	276

INTERNATIONAL COURT OF JUSTICE
PLEADINGS, ORAL ARGUMENTS, DOCUMENTS

CASE CONCERNING THE
AERIAL INCIDENT OF 3 JULY 1988

(ISLAMIC REPUBLIC OF IRAN v. UNITED STATES
OF AMERICA)


VOLUME I

COUR INTERNATIONALE DE JUSTICE
MÉMOIRES, PLAIDOIRIES ET DOCUMENTS

AFFAIRE DE L'INCIDENT AÉRIEN
DU 3 JUILLET 1988

(RÉPUBLIQUE ISLAMIQUE D'IRAN c. ÉTATS-UNIS
D'AMÉRIQUE)

VOLUME I



	<i>Page</i>
B. Request for an Order that the United States cease and refrain from its violations of international law	280
C. Request for an Award of Compensation against the United States for its violation of international obligations	283
1. The Court's power to award monetary reparation	283
2. The basis for the request for reparation.	286
3. The reparation requested.	289
D. Conclusions: State practice	300
SUBMISSIONS	305
<i>List of documentary exhibits</i>	309
<i>Appendix. Analysis of the ICAO Report</i>	315
<i>List of illustrations</i>	
<i>Figure 1. Position of IR 655 at missile impact.</i>	13
<i>Figure 2. Position of IR 655 within Route A59</i>	24
<i>Figure 3. Flight path of IR 655.</i>	33
<i>Figure 4. Position of U.S. ships and IR 655 at time of missile launching .</i>	82
<i>Figure 5. Positions of U.S. ships and helicopter at 0610 UTC</i>	95