

	<i>Page</i>
Municipal court proceedings concerning Mr. Breard	74
Paraguay's efforts to secure relief in the United States	76
This Court's Order of provisional measures	77
The United States' execution of Mr. Breard in defiance of the provisional measures Order and Paraguay's rights under the Vienna Convention	77
II. The jurisdiction of the Court	78
III. The claims of the Republic of Paraguay	79
IV. The judgment requested	80
V. Judge <i>ad hoc</i>	81
VI. Reservation of rights	81
 Conditional Request of Paraguay for an Order Conclusively Establishing Facts — Demande conditionnelle du Paraguay tendant à ce que la Cour rende une ordonnance qui établisse des faits de façon concluante	
By executing Mr. Breard, the United States deprived Paraguay of his testimony	85
If this Court holds that Paraguay must show prejudice, it should also hold that prejudice has been conclusively established	86
Relief requested	88
 Memorial of Paraguay — Mémoire du Paraguay	
CHAPTER 1. INTRODUCTION	91
CHAPTER 2. FACTS	95
The arrest and failure of notification	95
The plea offer, trial, conviction, and sentence	96
Appellate and <i>habeas</i> proceedings in the Virginia courts	100
Mr. Breard's <i>habeas</i> proceeding in the federal courts	101
Paraguay's action in the federal courts	102
Judgments of the district court and the Court of Appeals in the <i>habeas</i> proceeding and Paraguay's action	103
Setting of the execution date and commencement of proceedings in the United States Supreme Court	104
Diplomatic negotiations	105
Paraguay's Application to the Court and request for provisional measures of protection	106
Response of the United States to the provisional measures Order	107
Post-execution letters	112
CHAPTER 3. THE COURT HAS JURISDICTION OVER PARAGUAY'S CLAIMS	113
I. The Optional Protocol gives this Court jurisdiction over any dispute arising out of the application or interpretation of the Convention	113
II. The Court has jurisdiction over the dispute arising from the United States' breach of Article 36 (1)	115
III. The Court has jurisdiction over the dispute arising from the United States' breach of Article 36 (2)	117
IV. The Court has jurisdiction over the dispute arising from the United States' breach of the provisional measures Order	118

	<i>Page</i>
CHAPTER 4. THE UNITED STATES VIOLATED THE VIENNA CONVENTION ON CONSULAR RELATIONS	123
I. The United States deprived Paraguay of its right to provide consular assistance by failing to provide the required notification to Mr. Breard	127
A. Article 36 (1) (b) required notification to Mr. Breard in order to permit Paraguay to exercise its right of consular assistance	127
B. The United States did not provide the requisite notice	130
C. The United States' violation renders it internationally responsible for its wrongful acts	131
1. No prejudice need be shown for State responsibility to attach	132
2. Prejudice is amply established on this record in any event	133
a. Injury to Paraguay	133
b. Injury to Paraguay's national	135
II. The United States violated the Vienna Convention by its application of the municipal-law doctrine of procedural default	138
A. The United States was obligated to apply municipal law so as to guarantee the exercise of the rights accorded by Article 36 of the Vienna Convention	139
B. The United States breached its international obligations by applying the doctrine of procedural default in Mr Breard's case	141
CHAPTER 5. BY EXECUTING MR. BREARD, THE UNITED STATES VIOLATED A BINDING ORDER OF THIS COURT	145
I. The United States violated the Order of provisional measures	147
II. The Order of provisional measures was binding on the United States	152
A. Article 94 (1) of the Charter requires the parties to comply with an indication of provisional measures	153
B. The ordinary meaning of Article 41 in its context establishes that an indication of provisional measures is binding	154
1. The English text of Article 41(1)	156
a. "The Court shall have the power . . ."	156
b. "... to indicate . . ."	159
c. "... if it considers that the circumstances so require . . ."	160
d. "... any provisional measures which ought to be taken to preserve the respective rights of either party"	160
2. The other authentic texts of Article 41 (1)	161
3. Article 41 (2)	163
C. The object and purpose of both Article 41 standing alone and the Statute of the Court taken as a whole require that provisional measures be binding	165
1. A nonbinding indication of provisional measures cannot preserve the rights of the requesting party	165
2. A nonbinding indication of provisional measures cannot preserve the Court's ability to resolve disputes in accordance with law	167

	<i>Page</i>
STATEMENT BY MR. GOROSTIAGA	30
Conclusions	30
Submission	30
STATEMENT BY MR. ANDREWS (UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)	31
Requirements for indication of provisional measures not met	32
Capital punishment does not violate international law	32
Introduction of Counsel	33
ARGUMENT OF MS BROWN (UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)	34
I. The consular function	34
II. State practice with respect to consular notification	35
III. The United States response to the failure of notification	37
ARGUMENT OF MR. CROOK (UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)	41
I. The significance of provisional measures	41
II. Provisional measures are not warranted in these circumstances	42
No jurisdiction	42
The merits of Paraguay's claim	43
A. Plain meaning of the text	44
B. Negotiating history	45
C. State practice	46
D. No injury to Mr. Breard	47
E. Conclusion	47
III. Provisional measures and the rights of the Parties	47
ARGUMENT OF MR. MATHESON (UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)	50
Granting of interim measures is contrary to interests of Vienna Con- vention parties	50
Intention of drafters	51
Integrity of domestic criminal process	51
STATEMENT BY MR. ANDREWS (UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)	54
Submissions	54
REPLY OF MR. DONOVAN (PARAGUAY)	55
QUESTION PUT BY THE PRESIDENT	63
REJOINDER OF MR. CROOK (UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)	64
CLOSING OF THE ORAL PROCEEDINGS	67
READING OF THE ORDER	68
Amended Application Instituting Proceedings Submitted by the Govern- ment of Paraguay — Requête introductive d'instance modifiée présentée par le Gouvernement du Paraguay	
Preliminary statement	73
I. The facts	74

CONTENTS — TABLE DES MATIÈRES


	<i>Page</i>
Application Instituting Proceedings Submitted by the Government of Paraguay — Requête introductive d'instance présentée par le Gouvernement du Paraguay	
Preliminary statement	3
I. The facts	4
Municipal court proceedings concerning Mr. Breard	4
Paraguay's efforts to secure relief in the United States	5
II. The jurisdiction of the Court	6
III. The claims of the Republic of Paraguay	7
IV. The judgment requested	8
V. Judge <i>ad hoc</i>	9
VI. Reservation of rights	9
VII. Provisional measures	9
Request for the Indication of Provisional Measures of Protection Submitted by the Government of Paraguay — Demande en indication de mesures conservatoires présentée par le Gouvernement du Paraguay . .	11
Oral Arguments on the Request for the Indication of Provisional Measures — Plaidoiries relatives à la demande en indication de mesures conservatoires	
OPENING OF THE ORAL PROCEEDINGS	18
STATEMENT BY MR. CÁCERES (PARAGUAY)	21
Introduction	21
Attempts to resolve dispute	21
Introduction of Counsel	21
ARGUMENT OF MR. DONOVAN (PARAGUAY)	22
Summary, treaties and jurisdiction	22
Introduction and summary	22
Substantive treaty rights at issue	22
Jurisdiction	22
ARGUMENT OF MR. LEGUM (PARAGUAY)	24
Facts	24
Introduction	24
The crime and the arrest	24
The trial and sentence	24
Post-conviction	25
Breard's petition to the Supreme Court	26
ARGUMENT OF MR. DONOVAN (PARAGUAY)	27
Need for provisional measures	27

INTERNATIONAL COURT OF JUSTICE

PLEADINGS, ORAL ARGUMENTS, DOCUMENTS

CASE CONCERNING
THE VIENNA CONVENTION
ON CONSULAR RELATIONS

(PARAGUAY *v.* UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)



COUR INTERNATIONALE DE JUSTICE

MÉMOIRES, PLAIDOIRIES ET DOCUMENTS

AFFAIRE RELATIVE
À LA CONVENTION DE VIENNE
SUR LES RELATIONS CONSULAIRES

(PARAGUAY *c.* ÉTATS-UNIS D'AMÉRIQUE)



	<i>Page</i>
D. The interpretation of Article 41 must also take into account the rule that the parties to a judicial proceeding have an obligation to preserve the subject matter	170
E. The circumstances of the adoption of Article 41 confirm that an indication of provisional measures is binding	173
F. The Court must consider the ordinary meaning, context, object and purpose, relevant rules, and adoption history as they inform interpretation today	176
CHAPTER 6. PARAGUAY IS ENTITLED TO APPROPRIATE AND MEANINGFUL REMEDIES	177
I. Paraguay is entitled to a declaration that the United States violated Paraguay's rights under the Vienna Convention	178
II. Paraguay is entitled to an Order of non-repetition	178
III. Paraguay was entitled to <i>restitutio in integrum</i>	180
IV. Given the United States violation of the Order, making <i>restitutio in integrum</i> impossible, Paraguay is entitled to alternative reparation	183
SUBMISSIONS	185
<i>List of Annexes to the Memorial of Paraguay</i>	188
Correspondence — Correspondance	191